JINGUI LI
IMAGE PROCESSING USING DATAFLOW TECHNIQUES

Master of Science thesis

Examiners: Prof. Jarmo Takala and Prof. Shuvra Bhattacharyya
Examiners and topic approved by the Faculty Council of the Faculty of Electrical and Computing on 1st February 2017
ABSTRACT

JINGUI LI: Image Processing Using Dataflow Techniques
Tampere University of Technology
Master of Science thesis, 45 pages
July, 2017
Master’s Degree Programme in Information Technology
Major: Pervasive Computing
Examiner: Prof. Jarmo Takala and Prof. Shuvra Bhattacharyya
Keywords: image processing, hardware design, dataflow graph, LIDE-C, LIDE-V, Verilog

Corner detection is an important task in digital image processing. Corner detection algorithms are widely used in pattern recognition, image mosaicing, motion detection, etc. The implementations of such algorithm in software/hardware can be challenging. One classical algorithm for finding corners in images is proposed by Harris and Stephens in 1988, commonly known as Harris corner detection algorithm; There have been different implementations of the algorithm in OpenCV and on FPGA. The implementations of the algorithm in hardware have been relatively less, and most importantly the architectures of the algorithm on FPGA have relatively been unexplored.

LIDE, created at University of Maryland, College Park, is a light-weight dataflow environment for rapid prototyping of DSP systems using dataflow techniques. The framework in C programming language is called LIDE-C, in Verilog HDL is called LIDE-V. This light-weight framework makes modeling of DSPs easy in both software and hardware. It is platform- and language-agnostic. This thesis work models the application both in LIDE-C and LIDE-V, our emphasis is, however, to propose multi-architecture corner detection Harris algorithm in LIDE-V. In LIDE, computations are distributed to different computation nodes in dataflow graphs. Each node is called actor in LIDE, and each actor has different modes of computation. Depending on how we construct a dataflow graph for an application and how we design actors of a dataflow graph, we easily create different implementations for the same algorithm.

In this thesis, different hardware architecture of the Harris algorithm will be proposed with different latency, resource usage, and throughput characteristics. Our preliminary results reveal, among other things, that unfolding for the non-max suppression actor not only improve performance but also decrease resource usage.
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# ABBREVIATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LIDE</td>
<td>DSPCAD Lightweight Dataflow Graph Environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DICE</td>
<td>DSPCAD Integrative Command Line Environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFG</td>
<td>Dataflow Graph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSP</td>
<td>Digital Signal Processing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL</td>
<td>Hardware Description Language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADC</td>
<td>Analog-to-Digital Converter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAC</td>
<td>Digital-to-Analog Converter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIR</td>
<td>Finite Impulse Response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TUT</td>
<td>Tampere University of Technology</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. INTRODUCTION

A signal is a function of independent variables such as time, distance, pressure, position, and temperature [1]. A signal can be analog or digital. If a signal is continuous in time and amplitude, it is said to be analog. In contrast, if a signal is defined only at some discrete points and can be represented using finite number of bits in computers, it is said to be digital. The definition of digital signal, depending on the context, can vary. This thesis work focuses on digital signal processing, and the definition of digital signal refers to the one mentioned above.

Digital signal processing (DSP) is application of mathematical operations on digital signals. A typical signal processing system is shown in the Fig. 1.1.

There are analog to digital converter (ADC), digital signal processing (DSP), and digital to analog (DAC) in the system. ADC and DAC modules are important as conversions from analog to digital and from digital to analog are sometimes indispensable. The ADC, which deals with sampling of analog signals, needs to sample the analog the signal so that there is no aliasing. According to Nyquist-Shannon sampling theorem, the sampling frequency should be at least twice of the frequency of highest component in the original signal [2, 3]. The real sampling frequency is usually set much higher than this theoretical lower bound. The DSP part deals with digital signal addition, subtraction, multiplication, division or a combination of the above. The operations on digital signals in one domain, say time domain, is equivalent to some operations applied to the signal in another domain. DSP has a lot of advantages over analog signal processing [4].

Digital image processing, as an important branch in present-day digital signal pro-
processing, has many applications in our life. It is widely used in machine learning system, pattern recognition, object detection, etc. A very good example where a sophisticated digital image processing system is involved is cars with auto-pilot system. An auto-pilot system is a fairly complicated system, the digital image processing part alone is far beyond the scope of this thesis. We might wonder, how on earth can the system know to slow down when it "sees" a speed limit sign, or how can it tell where the car should stay on the road, etc. The system should be able to respond accordingly when it "sees" different traffic signs or road conditions, this is where digital image processing comes into play; Another example which we might see or use everyday is image filtering, oftentimes we see people posting interesting filtered photos on social media. It is impossible to see this new way of life without the knowledge of digital image processing.

In the scope of this thesis work, we mainly focus on an application in digital image processing. The application, called Harris corner detection algorithm, is for finding corners in digital images. The goal is to implement the algorithm efficiently and rapidly. Implementation of DSP in software and hardware can be a very challenging task. In this thesis work, a framework called LIDE for experimenting with dataflow design techniques will be applied. The framework in the C programming language is called LIDE-C, the framework in Verilog HDL is called LIDE-V. Implementations of the algorithm in LIDE-C and LIDE-V will be the topic. The focus, however, will be hardware (LIDE-V) implementation.

The thesis work is arranged like this: Chapter 1 is introduction part. In chapter 2, we will talk about the theoretical background of this thesis work. Chapter 3, LIDE and DICE will be introduced. Chapter 4 will be focused on LIDE-C implementation of the algorithm. Chapter 5 will be about different LIDE-V implementations of the algorithm. In the last chapter, we will draw conclusions and about future work.
2. THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

This chapter provides the theoretical background of the thesis. We will first focus on digital image processing, then we will proceed to a common task in digital image processing – edge detection. The algorithm considered in this thesis work is the Harris algorithm for corner and edge detection. At the end, we will be addressing graphical representations of DSP algorithms.

2.1 Digital Image Processing

Digital image processing, as a sub-field of digital signal processing, is everywhere in our life. The ever-increasing ability for capturing information for human interpretation and processing of image data for storage, transmission, and representation for machine perception have made image processing more and more popular [5]. Every time we apply filtering effect on a photo captured by our smart phone, there is digital image processing involved. But the applications of digital image processing is far beyond what we can see. Digital image processing techniques are widely used in machine vision, pattern recognition, video encoding, feature extraction, medical image processing, etc.

2.1.1 Digital Image Representation

A digital image is a numeric representation of a two-dimensional image. Each digital image is composed of indivisible points called pixels. There is an intensity value at each pixel. Intensity values are encoded using a number of bits in digital computers. An illustration of a digital image (binary image) is shown in Fig. 2.1

A binary image is a type of digital image, the intensity value of each pixel can be one of two values (colors). Colored image processing is getting more and more popular, Each pixel is a vector of three values, namely R, G, B values, where R, G, B refers to
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Figure 2.1 A binary image in computers

red, green and blue respectively. By carefully "mixing" red, green, and blue colors, we can create an array of colors. Apparently, encoding a colored image of the same size requires more storage space than encoding a binary image.

2.1.2 Image Gradient

One important concept in digital image processing is image gradient. Gradient, simply put, is the rate of change. If we think of a digital image as a terrain and the intensity value at each point as height, then image gradient at a certain point is the uphill or downhill [6]. Mathematically, gradient of a two dimensional function $f(x, y)$ can be formulated as:

$$\nabla f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \mathbf{i} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \mathbf{j}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\mathbf{i}$ and $\mathbf{j}$ refer to unit vectors on $x$ and $y$ axial. To get the partial derivative of $f(x, y)$ in one direction, we need to know how fast the $f(x, y)$ changes when we change an infinitesimal amount in that direction. It is impossible in the case of digital image as the "function" is only defined at some discrete points in two dimensional space. The image gradient we refer to is basically the approximation of derivative as if there was a continuous function defined at the discrete points. Mathematically, a digital image is a two dimensional function whose definition exists only at some discrete points.

2.1.3 Image Convolution

Image convolution is a two dimensional operation applied on images. To perform image convolution, we need an input image and a kernel. A convolution kernel in the
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In the context of image processing, an edge detection algorithm is also called a convolution matrix or mask, used for convolving with the input image. Below are two kernels, Sobel and Prewitt kernel, commonly used for horizontal gradient approximation.

\[ G_{x_{sob}} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 \\ -2 & 0 & 2 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad G_{x_{pre}} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \] (2.2)

The Fig. 2.2 illustrates how image convolution works. To perform convolution, we extract a matrix of the same size as the kernel from the input image. Then we do element-wise multiplication, in the figure we need to perform 16 multiplications. The final step is to sum all the multiplication results, and the sum corresponds to one value in the output image. To calculate all the pixel values in the output image, we need slide a window of the same size as the kernel through the input image. Depending on the application, the rate at which we slide the window is different. In the preparation of the thesis, the step was set to one pixel. For the elements nearby the edges, we applied zero-padding to make it possible to convolve.

\[ \text{Figure 2.2} \quad \text{Convolution mask (left), input image (middle) and output image (right)} \]

2.2 Edge Detection Algorithms

One task in image processing, besides filtering, is to find boundaries in images. Finding boundaries (edges) is fundamental for feature detection, pattern recognition, and computer vision systems. Edge detection techniques can even be used in information compression. A boundary or edge, can be intuitively defined as the position where there is abrupt change of pixel intensity value. It may seem straightforward to find an edge, as we easily notice a sharp change in images, but
developing an algorithm for finding edges in a way that is stable, insensitive to noise, computationally-nonintensive is challenging. There are so many algorithms for finding edges in an image, each algorithm has its characteristics, advantages, and drawbacks. Edge detection algorithms can be broadly categorized as gradient based and Laplacian based.

Here we briefly introduce the Sobel, Prewitt, and Canny edge detection algorithm.

**Sobel Edge Detector**
The Sobel edge detection algorithm was developed by Sobel and Fredman, they presented the idea in 1968 [7]. The algorithm uses two 3 by 3 kernels to convolve with the original image to calculate horizontal and vertical derivative approximation. The horizontal kernel and vertical kernel are below:

\[
G_x = \begin{bmatrix}
-1 & 0 & 1 \\
-2 & 0 & 2 \\
-1 & 0 & 1 \\
\end{bmatrix},
G_y = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 2 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & -2 & 1 \\
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

The results of horizontal and vertical convolution will be the used to calculate a gradient value at a position. The formula for calculating the approximation of gradient \( \text{Grad} \) is:

\[
\text{Grad} = \sqrt{G_{\text{hor}}^2 + G_{\text{ver}}^2},
\]

where \( G_{\text{hor}} \) and \( G_{\text{ver}} \) refer to horizontal and vertical derivative approximation respectively. The direction of gradient at a place is determined by the formula:

\[
\theta = \arctan\left(\frac{G_{\text{hor}}}{G_{\text{ver}}}\right).
\]

In practice, the implementation of square root calculation is usually replaced by the following formula to reduce computation time (resources)

\[
\text{Grad} \approx |G_{\text{hor}}| + |G_{\text{ver}}|.
\]

This simplification greatly reduces area in hardware implementations, also it is comparatively easier to implement.

**Prewitt Edge Detector**
The Prewitt edge detector is comparatively fast and computationally less expensive
to implement. The kernels for horizontal and vertical gradient approximation are:

\[
G_x = \begin{bmatrix}
  -1 & 0 & 1 \\
  -1 & 0 & 1 \\
  -1 & 0 & 1 \\
\end{bmatrix},
G_y = \begin{bmatrix}
  -1 & -1 & -1 \\
   0 & 0 & 0 \\
   1 & 1 & 1 \\
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

Since the kernels are different, the spectral response is different. In the preparation of this thesis, both Sobel and Prewitt kernels were used for implementations.

**Canny Edge Detector**

The Canny edge detector was proposed by John Canny in [8] in 1986. The edge detector is widely deemed as the one of the most widely-used edge detection algorithms. The algorithm works in more complex fashion compared to Sobel and Prewitt operators. The steps for running the algorithm are below:

1. **Gaussian smoothing**
   The basic idea behind Gaussian smoothing is very simple, we use a kernel which approximates a continuous two-dimensional function 

   \[
   G(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2\sigma^2}}
   \]

   to convolve with the image. The purpose of Gaussian smoothing is to reduce noise in the image. When it comes to implementation, the kernel can be a 5 by 5 kernel of the following form:

   \[
   K_{\text{Gaussian}} = \begin{bmatrix}
   1 & 4 & 7 & 4 & 1 \\
   4 & 16 & 26 & 16 & 4 \\
   7 & 26 & 41 & 26 & 7 \\
   4 & 16 & 26 & 16 & 4 \\
   1 & 4 & 7 & 4 & 1 \\
   \end{bmatrix}
   \]

   The criteria for approximating Gaussian kernel is unclear.

2. **Gradient approximation**
   We calculate derivative approximation for the smoothed image. The operators for gradient approximation can be Sobel, Prewitt, or even others. The procedure for calculating a gradient value at a position is the same as mentioned previously. Here, often times we use the sum of absolute values to avoid square root calculation.

3. **Non-max suppression**
   Non-max suppression, as the name suggests, "suppresses" non-max gradient values. The purpose is to make the edges more obvious in the output image.
We keep the max gradient value from a window and the rest of gradient values will be set as zero.

4. Double threshold

Due to noise in the image, we need to "filter" out fake edges. To that end, we use double threshold. We predefine two threshold values $T_{\text{high}}$ and $T_{\text{low}}$. If gradient value at a place is higher than $T_{\text{high}}$, we mark it as a strong edge pixel. If gradient value at a place is lower than $T_{\text{low}}$, it is "suppressed". If the value is between $T_{\text{high}}$ and $T_{\text{low}}$, it is marked as a weak edge pixel.

5. Edge tracking

After the previous step, there are probably still some fake edge pixel among weak edge pixels. The purpose of the step is to remove fake edge pixels. Usually real edge pixels are connected to strong edge pixels. The implication is by removing unconnected weak pixel values, we effectively remove false edge pixels.

The number of edge detection algorithms is far beyond three, the listed algorithms are somehow related to this thesis work. Maini and Aggarwal provide comparisons of different edge detection algorithms in [9].

### 2.3 Harris Corner Detection Algorithm

The algorithm which we implemented was proposed by Harris et al. in [10]. Unlike edge detection algorithms, the algorithm attempts to find the corners in the input image. But the procedure for finding corners is to a great extent similar. Now the question is: what is a corner?

A corner is the intersection of two edges. To make it easy to understand, if the horizontal and vertical derivatives are very large at a place we find a corner. Suppose we take a small window from an image, let us denote $I_x$ and $I_y$ as horizontal and vertical derivative respectively. If the following sum (2.3) leads to a large value,

$$\sum I_x^2 + \sum I_y^2$$ (2.3)

we can say we find a corner. This happens only when the horizontal and vertical gradients are large. But what happens when we rotate a corner such that the horizontal and vertical gradients are both not so pronounced? In this case, we
consider the eigenvalues of the following gradient covariance matrix 2.4:

\[ G = \begin{bmatrix} \sum I_x^2 & \sum I_x I_y \\ \sum I_x I_y & \sum I_y^2 \end{bmatrix}. \] (2.4)

If the eigenvalues of the matrix are large, we likely have a corner. Harris algorithm is really just generalization of the case when a horizontal and vertical edge intersect.

### 2.4 DSP Algorithm Representations

DSP algorithms are usually non-terminating, the representation of them can take different forms. For example, a 4-tap FIR filter can be represented compactly using the following formula:

\[ y(n) = a_0 x(n) + a_1 x(n - 1) + a_2 x(n - 2) + a_3 x(n - 3). \] (2.5)

Transforming such a formula to a program is fairly straightforward, but it does not help in intuitively understanding and identifying the characteristics of the algorithm. We resort to graphical representations of DSP algorithms for a better understanding of algorithm properties.

Four types of graphical representations, block diagram, signal flow graph (SFG), data flow graph (DFG), and dependency graph (DG), are used for representing DSP algorithms in the form of directed graph. Different graphical representations of DSP algorithms are shown in [11].

#### Block Diagram

Block diagram is a directed graph consisting of computation nodes and edges. The block diagram of the above-mentioned 4-tap FIR filter is shown in Fig. 2.3. There are four types of elements: adder, multiplier, unit delay, and edge in Fig. 2.3. A block diagram explicitly reflects the functionality of a system and various block diagram can be derived for the same system [11].

#### Signal Flow Graph

Signal Flow Graph (SFG), is basically a collection of nodes and edges. Nodes represent computational tasks. The input node \( x[n] \) is called source node, the output node \( y[n] \) is called sink node. The SFG in Fig. 2.4 can be transformed into different
forms. For a single-input-single-output system, we can apply transposition to the original graph.

Dataflow Graph
Dataflow Graph representation is the representation we focus on in this thesis. DFG is a directed graph consisting of edges and computation nodes. On each edge, there is a non-negative delay associated with it. A trivial example for representing computation

\[ y(n) = ay(n-1) + x(n) \]

is represented in Fig. 2.5. The graph is fairly abstract considering the amount of information connoted. DFG reflects the data-driven property of DSP systems. A node can carry out computation whenever there is enough data available, in the following chapters we will see that a node can "fire", i.e., carry out computation, when it is "enabled".
In the DFG in Fig. 2.5, there is one delay on the edge from \( A \) to \( B \) as denoted by \( D \) on the edge. The delay implies that there is *intra-iteration precedence* constraint, while on the edge from \( B \) to \( A \) there is *inter-iteration precedence* constraint as there is no delay on the edge.

Depending on the granularity of computation nodes, a DFG can be *atomic* or coarse-grain. An atomic DFG is a DFG whose computation nodes are indivisible, the operations are basic operations like addition, multiplication, etc. In a coarse-grain DFG, a node is a task consisting several indivisible operations. In this thesis, we mainly deal with coarse-grain DFG.

A DFG is said to be *synchronous* if input and output ratio is constant, i.e., consumption to production ratio is constant. A synchronous DFG (SDFG) can be used to describe multi-rate system, the Fig. 2.6 shows an example of a multi-rate system. Note: the numbers in the below graph denote the number of equivalent connections (edges). The numbers on the edges refer to consumption or production rate. Take the node \( A \) as an example, it consumes 1 sample and produces 3 samples. We can use a technique called *unfolding* to transform the multi-rate system to single rate system. An unfolded graph of Fig. 2.6 is shown in Fig. 2.7. The unfolded graph
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contains more computation nodes, and apparently the throughput of this system is higher than the original case. In this thesis, we will apply unfolding to the graphs we implement. The details of unfolding a graph will be discussed in the following chapters.

**Dependence Graph**

Dependence graph (DG) representation is used in *systolic architecture* design. The Fig. 2.8

![Dependence graph](image)

*Figure 2.8 Dependence graph*

represents the computation of the FIR filter:

\[ y(n) = a_0 x(n) + a_1 x(n - 1) + a_2 x(n - 2). \]

The graph is regular and by using some linear mapping or projection techniques, systolic architecture of the algorithm can be designed. Kung explained the motivation, advantages, and the basic principle of systolic architecture in [12].

In later chapters, the algorithmic representation is coarse-grain DFG. Each node does much more than simple addition or multiplication.
3. LIDE AND DICE

In the preparation of this thesis work, one integrated environment called DICE, was used to expedite the process of prototyping the Harris algorithm. The framework, LIDE, in which the algorithm was prototyped, is for modeling DSPs in software or hardware. This chapter provides introduction to both DICE and LIDE, and there will be some examples regarding how to use DICE.

3.1 The DSPCAD Lightweight Dataflow Environment

The DSPCAD Lightweight Dataflow Environment (LIDE), developed at University of Maryland, College Park, USA, is a flexible, lightweight design environment that allows designers to experiment with dataflow-based approaches for design and implementation of DSP systems [13]. LIDE comes with a set of predefined libraries, tools, and shell scripts. With LIDE, a designer can speedily develop a dataflow based DSP application.

In LIDE, a computation node (vertex) is called an actor. Edges for connecting actors are First-in-First-out (FIFOs). The design of actors is based on semantics of a dataflow model of computation called Enable-Invoke Dataflow (EIDF). In the preparation of this thesis work, we applied LIDE approach in C programming language and Verilog HDL. LIDE in C programming language is called LIDE-C, the framework in Verilog HDL is called LIDE-V.

The design of LIDE-C actors was inspired by object-oriented programming. Each actor has its construct, enable, invoke, and terminate function. The construct function is used for constructing an actor object, the function initializes some values and assigns private members some values. The enable function is a function, which detects the enable condition of an actor. An actor is only able to carry out computation if it is enabled, i.e., the conditions for carrying out computations are satisfied. The invoke function is the function, which carries out the actual computation. The
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terminate function is the deconstructor, it frees relevant memory space and does some "clean-up" tasks.

LIDE-V has main characteristics of LIDE, but it has hardware-unique features. For example, there is no construct and terminate functions in LIDE-V as there is no need to construct an object, let alone deconstruct it. LIDE-V is still being actively developed and tested, but LIDE-C is comparatively mature. To make things concrete, we specially discuss LIDE-C in the following sections though the approaches discussed apply to other programming languages (MATLAB, CUDA, etc.). To proceed, LIDE has to be installed before the following sections. The guide [14] provides information on how to install and set up LIDE.

3.1.1 Project Configuration

In C programming language, we need a Makefile besides the source programs to create an application. Without the use of integrated development environment (IDE), we probably need to create our own Makefile to conveniently build a project.

In LIDE-C, we do not have the need to write Makefiles to compile programs. Instead we write a configuration file. A utility called dicelang-C is a package for building projects in LIDE-C. With dicelang-C package, we need to only write a Bash script called dlcconfig. The file contains project-specific files and some related variables.

One example configuration file for Sobel edge detection project is shown in program 3.1

```bash
#!/usr/bin/env bash
# Script to configure this project
# Sobel edge detection algorithm

dlcincludepath="-I. -I$UXLIDEC/src/gems/common \
-I$UXLIDEC/src/gems/basic -I$UXLIDEC/src/runtime"
dlmiscflags=""
dlmklibs=""
dltargetfile="$LIDECGEN/user_func.a"
dlinstalldir="$LIDECGEN"
dlobjs="SOBEL.o lide_c_sobel.o \
lide_c_sobel_scheduler.o lide_c_user_function.o"
dlcverbose=""
```

Program 3.1 An example LIDE-C configuration file
The compiler that the package uses is the GCC compiler. Here we briefly explain the purpose of some variables in the script.

- `dlcincludepath` variable specifies predefined source code for compilation of our C project. LIDE-C FIFO definition and some other related modules are located in this path.

- `dlctargetfile` is the target file toward which we build. In this case, the target is a static library file and we will use it to build the final executable.

- `dlcinstalldir` is the installation directory where the target file is installed [13].

- `dlcobjs` is the variable which sets the names of object files.

More explanations on other variables are available in [13].

### 3.1.2 Project Build, Installation and Cleanup

Now the question we have is: how to build a project and how to install the executable? To build a project, we need a Bash script called `makeme`. Here is an example `makeme` Program 3.2.

```bash
#!/usr/bin/env bash
# Script to build this project
set -a
source dlcmakeme
```

**Program 3.2 An example makeme file**

By running the script, we build our project easily. Installation takes no more than running a command called `dlcinstall`. `dlcinstall` will install the executable in the directory specified by the variable `dlcinstalldir`. For cleaning intermediate files, use a command called `dlcclean` is used.

### 3.1.3 Creating A Driver and Scheduler in LIDE-C

LIDE-C is used for implementing DSP dataflow graphs, the edges in dataflow graphs are modeled as FIFO. It is designer’s job to create actors, drivers, and schedulers.
A dataflow graph for implementing vector addition is shown in figure 3.1. To implement this graph in LIDE-C, we need to create file source nodes like $f_1$ and $f_2$, add, and snk. Besides, we need a driver where we instantiate all the actors and connections. For example, we decide the size of FIFOs and the datatype of it. In addition, all required actors should be constructed.

The execution of the whole graph requires a scheduler to schedule all the events in the graph. Depending on the application, we need different schedulers. LIDE-C comes with a library scheduler for execution of the whole graph. A smart scheduler can greatly improve efficiency of the graph, to that end we typically need to know some information about the system in advance.

### 3.2 The DSPCAD Integrative Command Line Environment

The DSPCAD Integrative Command Line Environment (DICE) [15] is a set of tools for easy use of LIDE. But DICE is a general tool for software development, the use of DICE makes it possible to develop software quickly and efficiently.

The important utilities, based on their niche use, under DICE can be classified as: directory navigation utility, copying and pasting utility, archiving and extracting utility, and unit testing utility. These utilities can be very handy and helpful when we deal with command line environment.

For directory navigation, DICE comes with main commands: g and dlk. The command g is short for go, if we pass a link name as argument to it, we will "go" to the directory the link points to. The command dlk is for creating a link, we can create a link name for a directory (path) with this command; Archiving and
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file extraction from a (e.g. tar) package becomes a lot easier with utilities such as dxpack and dxunpack [15].

Unit testing is another great feature of DICE, the following section provides a brief introduction to the use of it.

3.2.1 Unit Testing

For software or hardware developers, a common task is to test if a design meets all the requirements. We want to feed some stimuli to our design and see the output from our design. If the design behaves the way we want, we are moderately confident that it is functioning the way it should.

DICE provides a utility called dxtest for unit testing. The tool provides flexibility and language-agnostic features for testing. The implication is that: to use dxtest, there is no need to learn new syntax and that the testing framework can be used to test designs in different languages (Python, VHDL, Verilog, etc.).

To launch a test on a directory, the directory must start with the word test. The following components are required for testing:

- **README.txt** file
  The file provides some information about the test so that it is possible to work more in retrospect.

- **A makeme file for building up project**
  The convention is the same for LIDE as discussed previously, the makeme file is similar to a Makefile in building up a project in other programming languages (e.g. C).

- **A runme script**
  The script is for running the driver and redirecting output to error output.

- **A correct-output.txt file**
  As the name suggests, this file contains the expected output.

- **A file called expected-errors.txt**
With all of the above files ready, a test can be launched by running `dxtest` command. The utility compares the generated output with `correct-output.txt` and `expected-errors.txt` to decide if a design has passed a test.
4. LIDE-C IMPLEMENTATION OF HARRIS ALGORITHM

This chapter focuses on LIDE-C implementation of the algorithm. The first step for building up an application in LIDE is high level modeling of the algorithm in MATLAB. High level modeling provides all the reference results for verification.

4.1 High Level Modeling

High level modeling is an important first step for building an application in LIDE-C, the purpose of it is to get all the reference results and give us a better grasp of how the algorithm works. MATLAB was chosen as the high level tool for modeling Harris algorithm. MATLAB is handy for such kind of modeling purpose as it has commonly used image operation functions available.

To have an idea on how the Harris corner detection algorithm works, the Program 4.1 gives us some insights about it. The lines for printing reference results are not shown in 4.1 due to space limit. The Program 4.1 shows only the operations which give us a general idea on how the algorithm works. For example, the preprocessing part is skipped. The variables $I$, $dx$, and $dy$ refer to input image, horizontal kernel, and vertical kernel, respectively. The chunk of MATLAB code corresponds to three steps: gradient approximation, Gaussian smoothing, and calculation of the Harris measure.
4.2 Dataflow Graph of Harris Algorithm

There are a few things to take note of in the MATLAB code. First, the test image is converted to gray image. Our purpose is to find corners and such change will not defeat our purpose. Second, Sobel kernel is used. The values of the variable \( dx \) can be set to anything, this means there is a possibility to experiment with different gradient approximation kernels. Indeed, different kernels were tested. Third, data representation is fixed-point representation. In this case, 32-bit fixed-point arithmetic is used, which, makes it possible to use integer arithmetic in C (LIDE-C). The MATLAB code is adjusted such that it is possible to implement an exact model in LIDE-C.

4.2 Dataflow Graph of Harris Algorithm

The MATLAB model can be straightforwardly expressed in the form of dataflow graph in Fig. 4.1:

\[ \text{in} \xrightarrow{} \text{grd} \xrightarrow{} G \xrightarrow{} \text{har} \xrightarrow{} \text{out} \]

**Figure 4.1** A DFG of Harris algorithm

The actors (nodes) \texttt{in}, \texttt{out} refer to input and output node respectively. The actor \texttt{grd} is used for approximation of image gradient, the node \( G \) is used for Gaussian
smoothing. The actor har combines the results, the output from this node is a Harris measure. The graph in Fig. 4.1 contains a lot of information, it is to some extent abstract. It does not specify anything about implementation details. A flattened graph which shows more details is shown in Fig. 4.2.

The flattened graph contains more nodes (actors) for Gaussian smoothing. The structure is intuitive considering that Gaussian smoothing is applied to three output channels. Different graphs for implementing the same functionality can be drawn for the application for different trade-offs. The computations in actors grd and G involve image convolution as is discussed in chapter 2.

4.3 Details of Actor Design

With dataflow graph ready, the problem is how to implement the graph efficiently using LIDE-C. In LIDE-C, each actor has modes (mode) for carrying out computation. An actor carries out different computation in different modes. Sometimes, one mode is used to initialize actual computation. To denote the transition between different modes, a mode transition graph can be drawn [16]. In addition, a dataflow table can be made to illustrate the production and consumption rate of the ports of the actor [16]. The table should list all possible modes, ports, consumption (production) rate of an actor. The mode transition graph clearly shows the possible next mode from current mode. The dataflow table and mode transition graph are helpful for understanding properties and inner workings of an actor.

The Gaussian Actors

The dataflow Table 4.1 and mode transition graph of the Gaussian actors (G_1, G_2, G_3) as denoted in Fig. 4.2).
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Table 4.1 Dataflow table for Gaussian actors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>modes</th>
<th>in port</th>
<th>out port</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mode One</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These actors have the same structure and carry out similar computations, thus the dataflow table and mode transition graph are the same for them. The negative numbers in a dataflow table imply that the port consumes data in the mode, and positive numbers imply production. The values refer to the number of samples consumed or produced. One thing to take note of from the table is that the consumed (produced) sample is a pointer. In our context, samples are not passed directly from one actor to another. Instead, pointers are passed between different actors. Passing a pointer between different actors saves a lot of traffic and allows for use of much smaller FIFOs. The mode transition graph is shown in Fig. 4.3. M1 denotes Mode One, as we can see from the figure there is only one legal transition. The key computation involved in Gaussian smoothing is window-based image convolution. As discussed previously, the first step is to extract a window from the original image. After that, an element-wise matrix multiplication and summation is applied.

As pointers are used in computation, we need to figure out the addresses of all the elements that lie within a window. What makes things complicated is that there should be a mechanism to deal with corner cases, literally. The operation is regular most of the time, but what happens when the window is on the edge or on a corner. A mechanism called zero padding was used to deal with corner cases. The following Program 4.2 illustrates how extraction is done in C.
4.3. Details of Actor Design

```c
int j = 0;
for( ; j < 9; j++)
{
    if (m_s+j%3 >=0 && m_s+j%3 < size && n_s+j/3 >=0 && n_s+j/3 < size)
        temp_array[j] = input[size*(n_s+j/3) + m_s + j%3];
    else
        temp_array[j] = 0;
}
```

Program 4.2 Window extraction in C

The definitions of some variables are not displayed in the Program 4.2. The variables `m_s` and `n_s` refer to starting position where extraction starts. The variable `temp_array` is the array for storing the extracted matrix. The `size` variable in the code refers to image size, the number of columns (rows). Depending on the size of kernel, if 5 by 5 kernel was used the number of iterations would be 25. Correspondingly, the number used for modulo and division operation should be 5.

The extracted matrix is stored in an array called `temp_array`, and then matrix multiplication and addition are carried out. A function called `matrix_mul` was implemented in C for such purpose is shown in Program 4.3.

```c
lide_c_operating_data_type matrix_mul(lide_c_operating_data_type * matrix, lide_c_operating_data_type* ker, int size)
{
    int i = 0;
    int sum = 0;
    lide_c_operating_data_type* m;
    lide_c_operating_data_type* k;
    m = matrix;
    k = ker;
    // Dereference pointers then multiply
    for ( ; i< size ; i++)
    {
        sum += (*m)*(*k);
        m++;
        k++;
    }
    return sum;
}
```

Program 4.3 Matrix multiplication
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The Gradient Approximation Actor
The actor \texttt{grd} in Fig. 4.2 is used for gradient approximation calculation. The design of the actor is very similar to those Gaussian smoothing actors. The input comes from only one channel and output goes to three channels. A dataflow table which specifies the consumption (production) rate of the actor is shown in Table 4.2.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\text{modes} & \text{ports} & \text{in port} & \text{out port 1} & \text{out port 2} & \text{out port 3} \\
\hline
\text{Mode One} & & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Dataflow table of gradient approximation actor}
\end{table}

The computation the actor carries out is basically image convolution, the mode transition graph is the same as Fig. 4.3. As stated previously, Sobel kernel is used for derivative approximation. For use of different kernels, modification has to be done statically before compilation. In C 4.4, two one dimensional arrays are used to represent Sobel kernels.

\begin{verbatim}
lide_c_operating_data_type Gx[9] = {-1, 0, 1, -2, 0, 2, -1, 0, 1};
lide_c_operating_data_type Gy[9] = {-1,-2,-1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 2, 1};
\end{verbatim}

\textit{Program 4.4} Sobel kernel as one dimensional arrays

Harris Response Actor
The \texttt{har} actor in Fig. 4.2 is the Harris response actor. This actor combines results from three channels and produce a Harris response output. The computation can be formulated as:

\[ R = (A \ast B - C^2) - k \ast (A + B)^2, \]

where \( A, B, \) and \( C \) refer to output from three channels of Gaussian actors. The parameter \( k \) is the Harris free parameter, and the value is usually between 0.04 and 0.06. The value \( R \) is the Harris response. In LIDE-C implementation, the arithmetic is in fixed-point. Instead of multiplying a floating point value, \((A + B)^2\) is divided by 17 as \(1/17 \approx 0.0588\) which is within the range of classical values of Harris free parameter.

The mode transition graph of \texttt{har} actor is the same as the one in Fig. 4.3. The dataflow table is shown in Table 4.3.
In addition to computation, the actor has to deal with overflow. The problem can be circumvented by using double precision variable for storing intermediate results. But the fixed-point arithmetic imposes the constraint that only integers should be used. A dynamic range analysis showed that the maximum (minimum) value of intermediate result was beyond the representable range of 32-bit integers but within the range of 64-bit integer in C. The temporary variables, namely $A, B, C,$ and $R$, which are used for storing intermediate results are of type `long long` in C. Interestingly, the output $R$ can still be larger than maximum positive (or minimum negative integer). Threshold values for quantizing overflown values are needed, in our case the threshold values were $2147483647$ and $-2147483648$.

The final Harris response is a 32-bit integer, converting from `long long` type to `int` type in C has to be done with caution. Explicit conversion is required for error-free operation.

### 4.4 Optimizations

One optimization related to LIDE-C dataflow graph implementation is passing pointers in FIFOs. In general, samples are passed inside FIFOs and in this case if we pass actual image arrays inside FIFOs, there will be a huge memory space required for each FIFO, let alone the overhead of copying data between FIFOs and actors. By passing pointers around, the requirement for large FIFO is removed, a FIFO’s size can be just one. This optimization decreases memory space and improves processing speed.

Another optimization is using static scheduling. Scheduling the execution of different actors can be challenging. The basic philosophy which was used was: *the firing of upstream actors ensure the firability of downstream actors*. This way, there is no need for dynamical checking of execution completion of upstream actors or firing condition check. The execution time will be shorter in this way.
5. **LIDE-V Implementations of Harris Algorithm**

LIDE environment is for prototyping DSPs swiftly, the framework in Verilog HDL is called LIDE-V. This chapter provides a brief introduction to inter-actor connections in LIDE-V, and different implementations of Harris algorithm in it.

### 5.1 Actor Level Interconnections

In software implementations of LIDE, actor related functions are implemented as member functions of the actor. In LIDE-V, the computations are mapped on hardware units. Besides, in hardware there are no concepts as constructors and destructors. Once an actor is instantiated, it is there "forever". The implication is that a high level of parallelism.

The important modules at actor level are **enable**, **invoke**, and **scheduler** modules. A graphical representation (assuming distributed scheduling, which is true in our case) which illustrates the connections between different modules is shown in Fig. 5.1. The **enable** module, whose functionality is similar to LIDE-C enable module, checks the firing conditions of actors. When firing conditions are satisfied, the output signal called **enable**, will be set "high". The input signals of this module are population of input FIFOs, free space of output FIFOs, and the mode in which the actor operates. The module can be timed or untimed, meaning it can be implemented using combinational circuit or sequential circuit. The enable modules we used were implemented using combinatorial logic.

The **invoke** module is the module where computation is actually done, there is an input signal called **invoke** to this module, and computation starts when **invoke** is set "high" for a clock period. The computation completion is flagged by a signal called **fc** (denoting "firing complete"), and the signal remains "high" when computation is done. The two signals are used for communicating with scheduler module which
will be described below; Reading input data and writing output data are done by "enable" hand-shaking mechanism. The **scheduler** module is for scheduling computation events. It tells the mode in which actors operate, and set invoke signal "high". Usually, it is up to the actor itself to decide the mode in which it operates. But the behaviour can be overridden by the scheduler, the implication is that usually scheduler does not need to drive the mode output signal.

![Figure 5.1 Actor-level interconnections](image)

### 5.2 Enable Block

In this section, our focus is on combinatorial Enable module. As an example, an Enable module for an actor with just one input channel and one output channel is shown in Fig. 5.2.

The Enable block in Fig. 5.2 checks that there is at least one sample in the input FIFO and at least one free slot in the output FIFO. Although it is an example for a simple case, the Enable module can be easily extended to actors with more input and output channels. There is very little modification required, that is why, as we will see soon, we can have code generation mechanism to take care of that.
5.3 Scheduler Block

Scheduler block is the controlling center for actors. It decides when an actor can fire, schedulers can be centralized or distributed. In our case, for better performance of the algorithm, distributed schedulers are used. This means each actor in a dataflow graph has a dedicated scheduler. The Fig. 5.3 shows the RTL schematic of a generic scheduler. Some signal names are displayed in the picture, on the left are the input signals. It should be noticed that this generic scheduler does not interfere with mode transition (as the next_mode_in signal is connected directly to next_mode_out signal).

5.4 Capitalizing on the Regularity

LIDE-V is a regular Verilog framework as it complies with all the principles of LIDE, the regularity implies advantages when it comes to modeling DSPs in it. Each actor
in LIDE-V works in a similar fashion, i.e., Enable-Invoke Dataflow. Capitalizing on the regularity improves the efficiency with which we prototype DSPs in Verilog HDL. To that end, a script called `gen_actor.py` was created to generate actor-related modules and testbenches. The script is able to generate `enable`, `invoke`, `scheduler`, and `wrap` modules. The `wrap` module is a module which wraps aforementioned three modules. In addition, a testbench module for verifying the functionality of the actor can be generated in the form of SystemVerilog.

The ability to generate testbench in SystemVerilog is crucial as verifying is usually the most time-consuming part in hardware design. The use of SystemVerilog means that we can exploit advantages of the language more compared to primitive Verilog. The other generated modules are in the form of primitive Verilog form, which, is exactly what we want as LIDE-V imposes such constraint. The functionality of each Verilog module is, depends on the context, naturally up to the designer to fill in. One of the principles of LIDE-V is to create efficient hardware "infrastructure" for implementing abstract, complicated systems.

The testbench module requires minimum modification from the designer. What the designers need to do is adding application-specific parameters. Adding parameters cannot be done by code-generation mechanism as application-specific assumption, like the parameters used, cannot be made. But fill in a few parameters in the generated testbench is a trivial and error-free operation.

### 5.4.1 Code Generator

The idea of using some sort of code generation mechanism does not seem to be consistent with LIDE-V’s principles. LIDE-V is not high level synthesis (HLS) and the designer should take care of low level details of the designs. Under scrutiny, code generation here is a means of expediting developing process. The generated code will not be inferred to logic in hardware, and it is up to the designer to decide how to code an algorithm.

The fundamental idea of the code generator can be summarized as "smart printing". Printing is often used in programming for the purpose of debugging, and in my case it is about printing (writing) programs to files. Simply put, code generator is a program which, by capitalizing on the regularity of LIDE-V framework, can write programs; The code generator was implemented in Python. Python was chosen
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because of its expressiveness, support for different programming paradigms, and very powerful libraries. The Python code generator can be divided into two parts: the command line parser part and the generating part.

Command Line Parsing

Parsing is relatively simple, the generator accepts three arguments and they are actor name, the number of input channels and the number of output channels. If there are not enough arguments fed to the generator, the program exits showing an example use of it. This is done by using \texttt{argv} from \texttt{sys} library in Python.

The following step in parsing is to check the number of input/output channels. Apparently, numbers should be passed as arguments. The additional requirement is that the number of channels should be at least one. In Python code, there is a function called \texttt{check\_legal\_size()} which is dedicated for checking legal number of input/output channels.

Generating Code

When parsing is done, next issue is actually code generation. One key function is called \texttt{concat} which accepts four arguments and prints some text to files based on input arguments. Basically, the function prints the specified certain number of times with or without comments. The rest of the generator code is about repetitive calling of the function.

The code for generating actor-related templates is very simple, but the part for generating actor’s testbench is relatively complicated. There are questions that need to be solved. How do we justify the correctness of the generated testbench? To deal with that problem, example testbench code for verifying simple addition actor was used as reference. Verifying steps are very similar across actors with different functionalities. The generated testbench fills the input FIFOs connecting the actor in a sequential fashion, meanwhile the testbench checks the population of output FIFOs in parallel. The input, however, is assumed to be from text files with binary strings in them. The output, on the other hand, is written to text files with binary strings in them also.

5.4.2 Usage of Code Generation Mechanism

The use of the script is very simple, the following line demonstrate the use of it.

\texttt{$python \ gen\_actor.py \ <actor\_name> \ <i> \ <o>$}
In the above code, i refers to the number of input channels while o refers to the number of output channels. The **actor-name** field is for generating actor templates and testbench of that name. To make things concrete, suppose we need to create an actor called **Gauss** for Gaussian smoothing, and the actor has 3 input channels and 1 output channel. To generate this actor’s templates and testbench, we can use the following command:

```
$python gen_actor.py Gauss 3 1
```

Four actor related files will be generated, they are `Gauss_invoke.v`, `Gauss_enable.v`, `Gauss_control.v`, `Gauss_wrap.v` and one testbench file called `tb_Gauss.sv`.

### 5.5 Straightforward Implementation and Unfolding

Implementing a dataflow graph which straightforwardly describes the computations is relatively easy. The first implementation we had was based on the dataflow graph in Fig. 5.4. The graph is not too much different from what is shown in the previous chapter, here one more actor called **nom**, used for non-max suppression, is added. The following text of this section will focus on describing the actors in this graph. We start from upstream actors to downstream actors.

#### 5.5.1 Design of Gradient Approximation Actor

The gradient approximation actor is marked as **grd** in the dataflow graph in Fig. 5.4. Since the actor uses Sobel kernels for gradient approximation, there is an

![Figure 5.4 Straightforward Implementation of Graph](image-url)
internal memory space for storing a 3 by 3 matrix. This 3 by 3 matrix is for saving extracted window from the input image, and the matrix is then used for carrying out computing derivatives of two directions.

This actor has three modes of operation, they are WU, RE, and WR, representing WARM-UP, READ, and WRITE respectively. A mode transition graph for illustrating the operations of the actor is shown in Fig. 5.5. The WU mode is for warming up the system, namely, fill the pipeline with samples. After that, the actor mode switches between RE and WR. A dataflow table for explaining consumption and production rate is shown in Table 5.1.

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\text{modes} & \text{ports} & \text{in} & \text{out} & \text{out} \\
\hline
\text{WU} & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\text{RE} & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\text{WR} & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

\textbf{Table 5.1 Dataflow table for grd actor}

The calculation of both (horizontal and vertical) derivatives takes no "time", two dedicated combinatorial circuits are used for calculating both derivatives.

5.5.2 Design of Gaussian Actors

The Gaussian actors which are shown in Fig. 5.4 are three instances of the same actor. These actors carry out Gaussian smoothing, and the kernel is of the following form:

\[
K = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 4 & 6 & 4 & 1 \\
4 & 16 & 24 & 16 & 4 \\
6 & 24 & 36 & 24 & 6 \\
4 & 16 & 24 & 16 & 4 \\
1 & 4 & 6 & 4 & 1 \\
\end{bmatrix}.
\]
The coefficients are hard-coded in a separate coefficient module, this way it is easy for synthesis tool to optimize. Gaussian smoothing is basically window-based image convolution, an important insight for window-based operation was derived from the paper [17] in which a primitive called ADL was proposed. ADL is short for Array Delay Line, it is used for extracting a window from images. The Fig. 5.6 illustrates how it works. Initially, there is nothing in the array of registers. The first sample fills the rightmost register on the bottom. When the next sample comes in, the first sample shifts left so there is space for the incoming sample. The direction in which elements move in the ADL is marked by dotted arrows. By the time the ADL is filled with samples, we also get the first window (as marked by a K by K thick-line square) of elements.

We only start extracting a window when the ADL is warmed up completely, after that when there is a new sample coming in the element on the leftmost corner will be shifted out and we have a new window of samples. This scheme makes window-based operation very easy. The rest of actors whose core operation involves window-based operation all use this ADL. For example, the *nom* actor, whose operation is also window-based, also uses ADL as its memory. The mode transition graph for the actor is the same as Fig. 5.5, and the dataflow table is similar to Table 5.1.
5.5.3 Design of Harris Response Actor and Non-Max Suppression Actor

The Harris response actor, called \texttt{har}, carries out the following computation:

$$R = (A \ast B - C \ast C) - (A + B) \ast (A + B) \ast k,$$

where $A$, $B$, and $C$ are matrices, $k$ refer to the Harris free parameter. It is relatively straightforward to implement the actor in LIDE-V as there is no involved operation other than addition and multiplication. This actor has only one mode in which it reads inputs, i.e., $A$, $B$, and $C$, and write to output FIFO. A dataflow table and mode transition graph are trivial in this case.

The non-max suppression actor, called \texttt{nom}, involves many comparisons. This actor has only two modes: WU and NORM, representing WARM-UP and NORMAL mode respectively. After warm-up, the actor stays in NORM mode. The first implementation we had was that the actor uses only one comparator for all comparisons. A complicated scheduling was used for that.

5.5.4 Unfolding

In the previous actors, we have seen actors, namely, \texttt{G} and \texttt{nom} involve a lot repeated operations like multiplication and comparison. In the straightforward implementation, the actors use only one multiplier or comparator. We can improve the throughput of the system by applying a design technique called unfolding [18].

The basic idea behind unfolding is throwing more hardware for computations. Previously, only one multiplier and one comparator were used. What if we can use two, three or even more? The direct impact is that we get the final results a lot quicker.

For the Gaussian actors, they carry out 5 by 5 image convolution. If we use 5 multipliers, we collect the final output after waiting for only 5 clock cycles. What if we use 25 multipliers? We collect the results after one clock cycle. The same holds true for \texttt{nom} actor, but unfolding for the \texttt{nom} actor will not increase FPGA resource usage to a great extent as comparison is relatively a "cheap" operation. In Fig. 5.4, if we combine actors of different unfolding level we get different implementations of the same graph.
5.6 Harris Algorithm with Inter-actor Resource Sharing

The implementation of Harris algorithm in LIDE-V can vary a lot, even the same dataflow graph can be implemented differently when different tradeoffs are our design targets. Implementing a graph that uses a tiny amount of FPGA resource is often a goal in hardware design, the Harris algorithm graph in chapter 4 illustrates a straightforward way of implementing the algorithm. There is no resource sharing between different actors albeit the functionalities of actors overlap greatly.

Resources in the Harris graph mean both memory resource and functional unit, but in this section inter-actor resource sharing refers to sharing of functional units between different actors. The Gaussian actors carry out the same type of operation, the only difference is that they operate on different data; A different graph for resource sharing between different actors is shown in Fig. 5.7. The dataflow graph looks different from the original one, not only that but also the functionalities of actors in this graph are a bit different. The actors that require a new design are Gaussian actors and Harris response actor, while the FU is a new actor.

5.6.1 Design of Gaussian Actors

Since Gaussian actors share the same functionality units, there are no functional units in Gaussian actors. These actors are just dummy actors which do not carry out actual computation. They have separate memory space for storing input samples, as described previously, Gaussian actors carry out window-based operations, these actors will output a window of pixels.
5.6. Harris Algorithm with Inter-actor Resource Sharing

A mode transition graph and dataflow table help to understand better how the actors operate. These actors have the same transition graph and dataflow table. The modes are explained below:

**Figure 5.8 Dummy Gaussian actor mode transition graph**

**WU:** is warm-up mode. In this mode, the actor reads one sample. As we can see from the transition graph, the actor can either stay in this current mode or go to **RE** mode next time it is invoked. Internally, there is a invocation counter which keeps track of the number of times is has been invoked.

**RE:** is short for READ mode in which it reads one input sample. The next mode will always be **WR** mode. This is because after warming up the system, every time only one new sample is required to extract a window.

**WR:** represents WRITE mode in which it writes one output sample. There is a counter to keep track of the number of pixels in a window that have been written.

The dataflow table is shown in Table 5.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>modes</th>
<th>ports</th>
<th>in port</th>
<th>out port</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WU</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RE</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 5.2 Dataflow table for dummy Gaussian actors**

The design of actors has to be done carefully and differently from LIDE-C actor design. In LIDE-C, the firing condition is usually when there are many samples in input FIFO or many free space slots in output FIFO. In LIDE-V, we should use a limited resources while maintaining high performance. The design philosophy of LIDE-C should not be used directly in LIDE-V. The above design technique were used throughout different LIDE-V graphs.
5.6.2 Design of Functional Unit Actor

FU is short for functional unit, this is the actor where computation actually occurs. A good starting point to get to know how the actor operates is by looking at the mode transition Table 5.9. There are four modes for this actor, M1, M2, and M3 are for Gaussian smoothing of each channel. The WR mode is for writing output. The mode transition graph is fairly complicated, because scheduling the use of functional units is done by separating access into different modes.

There is a lot of logic used for implementation of such mode transition graph. One thing to take note of is that when the actor is in WR mode, the next mode will always be either M1, M2 or M3. The dataflow table for this actor is shown in Table 5.3. All the Gaussian actors share the same functional unit actor, and this actor

![Mode transition graph for FU Actor](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>modes</th>
<th>ports</th>
<th>in 1</th>
<th>in 2</th>
<th>in 3</th>
<th>out 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td></td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WR</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.3 Functional unit actor dataflow table

has only one functional unit, namely multiplier. This design uses a tiny amount of FPGA resources. As we can see from the dataflow Table 5.3 the consumption or production in a certain mode is always 1, this means the size of input and output FIFOs can be as small as 1.
5.6.3 Design of the Harris Response Actor

The Harris response actor has to be redesigned, as we can see from previous dataflow graph there is only one input channel for all three types of inputs. This actor has two modes: RE and WR, representing READ and WRITE respectively. It has the kind of mode transition graph as shown in Fig. 5.10. The Fig. 5.10 nicely illustrates how actor mode transitions from one to another. Initially, the actor is in RE mode, and stays in the same mode after one more read. Then it switches to WR mode in which it writes the output. The dataflow table is shown in Table 5.4. Similar to the functional unit actor, this actor requires very small input and output FIFO size.

![Mode transition graph for the Harris actor in inter-actor resource-sharing scenario](image)

**Figure 5.10** Mode transition graph for the Harris actor in inter-actor resource-sharing scenario

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>modes</th>
<th>ports</th>
<th>in</th>
<th>out</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RE</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 5.4 Mode transition graph for Harris response actor*

5.7 Verification, Synthesis and Result Analysis

A DUT without verification cannot be said to be designed correctly, there is no point in synthesizing if we can not guarantee the correctness of designs. After that, we can synthesize the designs and collect results. Finally, we can do a result analysis on what we get.

5.7.1 Verification

Our ultimate goal is to have all the designs synthesizable, an important step is to verify the functionality of DUT. As mentioned previously, testbenches are generated
for verification and we only need to add some actor-specific parameters to the generated testbenches. This operation is basically error-free. To verify all the modules conveniently, a Bash script is used. For example, to verify an actor called `grd`. Simply running the following command:

```
$s grd
```

will simulate all the related modules of `grd` actor. The command `s` is short for *simulate*. What the script does is creating a DO macro file for MODELSIM and starting MODELSIM for simulation. Before running the script, we should provide stimuli in the form of binary string format as we see below:

```
00000000101
00001010000
...
```

The number of bits and the length depend on the input data width of the actor, and how many input samples we want to use to test the DUT.

If there was no syntax error in the DUTs, there will be some generated files whose form is also in binary string. By using command `diff` to compare the generated output and expected we can decide if we should go back debugging or ensured that we have a correct design.

The verification of every single actor has gone through the same process, i.e., providing stimuli, running simulation script, checking a match by using `diff` command.

### 5.7.2 Synthesis

To make synthesis easier, a script called `rtl` was created to expedite the process. The script uses `sed` to create a configuration file for XILINX Vivado and launches Vivado for synthesizing. Example usage of the script is shown here:

```
$rtl grd_enable.v grd_controller.v grd_invoke.v grd_wrap.v
```

Or we can use the following:

```
$rtl grd*.v
```
5.7. Verification, Synthesis and Result Analysis

to make it easier to type. The script accepts as arguments all the related modules of the actor \texttt{grd} for synthesizing, a project will be created. After synthesis, we can see several reports containing mostly resource usage of the DUT. The device which is selected for synthesis is 7vx485ffg1157-1. The tool which was used for synthesis was XILINX VIVADO. Synthesizing all the graphs which were described in previous dataflow graphs we get the following Table 5.5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>graph</th>
<th>LUT</th>
<th>DSP</th>
<th>latency</th>
<th>Mpixel/s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>intra(1,1)</td>
<td>2340</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>1.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intra(1,5)</td>
<td>2336</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>1.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intra(1,25)</td>
<td>2331</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>1.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intra(5,1)</td>
<td>2619</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>1.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intra(5,5)</td>
<td>2615</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>3.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intra(5,25)</td>
<td>2610</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>3.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intra(25,1)</td>
<td>2103</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intra(25,5)</td>
<td>2099</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>4.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intra(25,25)</td>
<td>2094</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>4.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inter(1)</td>
<td>2378</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inter(5)</td>
<td>2374</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inter(25)</td>
<td>2369</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 5.5 Synthesis results of all graphs*

5.7.3 Results Analysis

The graphs which are marked with \texttt{intra(x,y)} is for intra-actor resource-sharing scenario, the value \texttt{x} and \texttt{y} refer to unfolding order for Gaussian actor and \texttt{nom} actor respectively. For example, \texttt{intra(5,5)} refers to intra-actor resource-sharing graph with unfolding by 5 for Gaussian actor, and unfolding by 5 for \texttt{nom} actor; The graph marked with \texttt{inter(x)} refer to inter-actor resource-sharing graph, the value \texttt{x} refers to unfolding order for \texttt{nom} actor. The throughput is estimated throughput when clock runs at 50 MHz.

If we look at the results for inter-actor resource sharing scenario, as the unfolding order increases the LUTs’ usage decreases for \texttt{nom} actor. Since unfolding is about using multiple functional units for carrying out parallel operations, this leaves us wondering why the resource usage decreases? The reason is as we increase the unfolding order, the control circuit for reusing the same functional unit simplifies. For
example, when we unfold fully the system there will be no need for a control circuit for multiplexing the functional units as all operations are parallel. The simplification will lead to decrease in FPGA resource usage, and such decrease is more than the increase when we increase unfolding order; For the Gaussian actors, as we increase unfolding order the DSPs used will increase accordingly. This makes sense as more multipliers will be required.

DSP resource is used for division and multiplication. The actors which need DSPs in them are \texttt{grd}, Gaussian actors, and \texttt{har} actor. Since \texttt{grd} and \texttt{har} have fixed architecture, the variation in the number of DSPs used depends on the architecture of Gaussian actors. We can see the minimum in Table 5.5 is 7 when there is highest level of resource sharing.

Latency depends on actor’s internal structure and the level of pipelining, for example, if we increase the FIFO size for connecting actors, we will see increased latency. The good thing about the actors’ design is that: actors firing does not require large FIFO free space (population). If actors were designed in a way that the firing of them required a large FIFO free space (population), or in some case, the FIFO size was dependent on image size, the actors would not be scalable.

Throughput is the number of samples processed in unit time, it is also the sampling rate. From the Table 5.5, we can see that throughput is the same in some cases. For example, \texttt{inter}(1), \texttt{inter}(5), and \texttt{inter}(25) have the same throughput. The reason is that system throughput is limited by the slowest part of the system. We can conclude from the results that the actor \texttt{nom} is not the slowest actor in the system. In many cases, there is not even a need to unfold an actor that is not the slowest part of a system, because unfolding in that case implies more resource usage without improving performance. We see the opposite from the table because of the operations the \texttt{nom} actor involves.
6. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

In this thesis, we have explored the implementations of the Harris corner detection algorithm in both hardware and software, specifically we have implemented the algorithm in C and Verilog HDL. The use of LIDE framework makes the prototyping of DSP in software and hardware faster, in my thesis work, LIDE-C and LIDE-V were used for prototyping the algorithm.

DICE, as a complementary environment for experimenting of dataflow modeling of DSP under Linux, makes management and development of DSP models in software and hardware easier. DICE was used throughout my thesis preparation. The handy utilities for switching between different directories, copying and pasting, improve the efficiency of carrying out tedious work under Linux.

LIDE is a highly regular framework. The problem with prototyping DSP systems in hardware is that a testbench is required when we create a Design Under Test (DUT). Creating a testbench is usually more time-consuming part as it requires thorough understanding of how a DUT works. In general, we need to create a testbench for each DUT. In this thesis work, by taking advantage of the framework’s regularity, we wrote a Python script which can generate all templates and testbenches. The code generation mechanism allows us to focus on DUT and swiftly prototype any sort of DSP. The overhead is that: to capitalize on the regularity we need to get to know the regularity, i.e., get to know how to prototype DSPs in LIDE. The design of an actor can be complicated, as we have seen in the design of inter-actor resource-sharing graph.

Digital image processing, as a separate branch from digital signal processing, is critical in modern day DSP systems. The implementations of digital image processing systems can be challenging as we impose more and more constraints. Corner detection is an important task in digital image processing, and it is widely used in feature detection, pattern recognition, etc. The algorithm proposed by Harris and Stephens in 1988 is widely used for corner detection. In Verilog HDL implementa-
tions of the algorithm, different orders of unfolding were applied for Gaussian actors and non-max suppression actor. Unfolding, also called loop unrolling in software engineering, is a technique for increasing performance of a DSP system. In the context of hardware design, unfolding basically means throwing more hardware for computation. The direct impact is that more operations can be done in parallel. In our case, unfolding means more multiplications and comparisons are carried out. It was found out that unfolding for non-max suppression actor not only increases the throughput (performance) of the actor, but also decreases resource usage on FPGA. Unfolding for Gaussian actors, by contrast, increases the use of DSPs on FPGA while decreases FFs to some extent.

All in all, this thesis work has explored different architectures of the Harris algorithm. We have demonstrated the great advantage of using LIDE, we have created 12 implementations of the algorithm in Verilog HDL. In the future, we can have different architectures for each actor in the dataflow graph. By piecing together actors of different architectures, we have different implementations of the algorithm.
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